Meeting Date and Time: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 8:30AM Meeting Location: This meeting was via Microsoft Teams.

In attendance: Brian Searles, Travis Bingham, Jason Batchelder, Mark Anderson, Erin Hodges Others in Attendance: Lindsay Thivierge, Chris Brickell

Call to Order: (WS Chair Brian Searles) 8:31 AM

Additions/Deletions to the Agenda: None

Motion to approve prior meeting minutes from 4/28 Mark Anderson, 2nd by Erin Hodges, all in favor.

## **Waiver Candidate Peete:**

There is only one course remaining in Chief Peete's prescription and it is not available until the 114th and that time frame has not been decided upon. Brian Peete has significant history of fingerprinting to include courses in both Chicago in investigations and bookings, as well as through FLETC. Discussion was had around availability of the course and the precedent that would be set by moving forward with waiving this requirement.

Mark Anderson made a motion to waive the fingerprint requirement and issue a non-provisional certificate to Chief Peete, 2nd by Travis Bingham, all in favor.

## **Waiver Candidate Cleaver:**

Staff presented the subcommittee with training and documentation from a waiver candidate for VSP. Subcommittee members felt that the candidate had adequate training and our prescription could focus on the mandates that are Vermont specific. Travis Bingham made a motion to accept the candidate into the waiver program, 2nd Jason Batchelder, all in favor.

The subcommittee discussed the waiver process and how the subcommittee can take on more responsibility for creating training prescriptions and getting waiver candidates through the process more quickly.

Ideas were presented on how the subcommittee could make the process more efficient. Gathering information from surrounding states to compare learning objectives and courses so that we know they are correlated and consistent with our VPA curriculum. Separate core courses and elective courses so that the subcommittee has an idea of what requirements there are and where there is room for change. Agencies could also do the work of the evaluation and submit prior to coming to the subcommittee for review.

Concern was mentioned on class availability and that is really what slows the process down.

The review process was discussed and members considered one person being the evaluator and others weighing in or possibly moving from one reviewer to the next.

## No public members and no open discussion

Motion to adjourn made by Mark Anderson, 2nd by Erin Hodges, all in favor

Meeting Adjourned 9:36